UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/538,603	06/09/2005 Norbert Auner		23324	5431	
535 K.F. ROSS P.C	7590 11/10/200 •	8	EXAMINER		
5683 RIVERDA SUITE 203 BO		LANGEL, WAYNE A			
BRONX, NY 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/538,603	3	AUNER, NORBERT			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Wayne Lan	gel	1793			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN SOLUTION OF THE INSIGN OF THE INSI	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.130 munication. tatutory period wi y will, by statute,	TE OF THI 6(a). In no even ill apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tine expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04</i> Se	entember 20	008				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 September 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)		′—			secution as to the	e merits is		
٥,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	✓ Claim(s) 10 and 11 is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	alaction rea	guiromont				
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restir	Clion and/or	election rec	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner	•					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <u>□</u> acce	pted or b)[objected to by the l	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the d	Irawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuomo et al. Cuomo et al disclose a process for producing hydrogen by reacting silicon with an oxygen supplying reagent composed of at least hydrogen and oxygen, such as water or an alcohol. (See col. 3, lines 3-9, and 58-63; and col. 6, lines 30-44.) The difference between the process disclosed by Cuomo et al, and that recited in claims 1, 2 and 5, is that Cuomo et al do not disclose that the silicon should be amorphous. It would be obvious to employ amorphous silicon as the source of silicon in the process of Cuomo et al, since it would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine whether amorphous or crystalline silicon should be employed. There is no evidence on record of unexpected results which would emanate from the use of amorphous, as opposed to crystalline, silicon in the process of Cuomo et al. Regarding claim 3, it would be obvious to employ a carboxylic acid as the oxygen supplying reagent composed of at least hydrogen and oxygen in the process of Cuomo et al.

Claims 4, 6, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuomo et al as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art. It would be further obvious from applicant's admitted prior art (page 3, lines 23-30 of the specification) to employ covered or uncovered amorphous silicon as the silicon reactant in the process of Cuomo et al.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaklader. Chaklader discloses a process for producing hydrogen by reacting silicon with water. (See the Abstract.) The difference between the process disclosed by Chaklader, and that recited in claim1, is that Chaklader does not disclose that the silicon should be amorphous. It would be obvious to employ amorphous silicon as the source of silicon in the process of Chaklader, since it would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine whether amorphous or crystalline silicon should be employed. There is no evidence on record of unexpected results which would emanate from the use of amorphous, as opposed to crystalline, silicon in the process of Chaklader.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "wherein R means generated" renders the scope of the claim vague and indefinite.

Claims 10 and 11 are allowed.

Gallagher and Kindig et al are made of record for disclosing the reaction between silicon and water to form hydrogen.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/538,603 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Wayne Langel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793